

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5610 of 1989

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

SUMATILAL SAKARBHAI PARIKH

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR BIPIN P JASANI for Petitioner
MR MR UA TRIVEDI FOR MR RJ OZA for Respondent No. 1
MR PARESH UPADHYAY for Respondent No. 2, 3 & 4.

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

Date of decision: 05/05/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned advocate for the petitioner states that this petition does not assume any survival value, at this stage, for the reason that the original petitioner has expired during the pendency of the petition, and therefore, this petition is required to be disposed of. Accordingly, this petition stands disposed of as having become infructuous, as stated by learned advocate for the petitioner. Liberty reserved in case of difficulty.

Rule discharged with no order as to costs.

.....

(vjn)